arXiv:1701.05756v2 [math.LO] 6 Feb 2017

Games on finitely generated structures

Adam Krawczyk
Insititute of Mathematics
University of Warsaw, Poland

Wiesław Kubiś*
Institute of Mathematics
Czech Academy of Sciences
Prague, Czechia

Institute of Mathematics
Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University
Warsaw, Poland

February 7, 2017

Abstract

We study the abstract Banach-Mazur game played with finitely generated structures instead of open sets. We characterize the existence of winning strategies aiming at a single countably generated structure. We also introduce the concept of *weak Fraïssé classes*, generalizing the classical Fraïssé theory, revealing its relations to our Banach-Mazur game.

MSC (2010): 03C07, 03C50.

Keywords: Banach-Mazur game, weak amalgamation, Fraïssé class.

1 Introduction

We consider the following infinite game for two players *Eve* and *Odd*. Namely, Eve starts by choosing a *small* (typically: finitely generated) structure A_0. Odd responds by choosing a bigger small structure $A_1 \supseteq A_0$. Eve responds by choosing a small structure A_2 containing A_1. And so on; the rules for both players remain unchanged. Specifically, we fix a countable first-order structure G and denote, as usual, by $\mathrm{Age}(G)$ the class of all finitely generated structures embeddable into G. The game $\mathrm{BM}(G)$ is played with structures from $\mathrm{Age}(G)$. We say that *Odd wins* if the union $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} A_n$ is isomorphic to G; otherwise *Eve wins*. This is in fact an abstract version of the well-known Banach-Mazur game [7], in which open sets are replaced by abstract objects. In [2] it was shown that Odd has a winning strategy in $\mathrm{BM}(G)$ whenever $\mathrm{Age}(G)$ is a Fraïssé class (equivalently: G is homogeneous with respect to its finitely generated substructures). The paper [2] contains also examples of non-homogeneous graphs G for which Odd still has a winning strategy. One needs to

*Research of the second author supported by GAČR grant No. 17-27844S.

admit that our Banach-Mazur game is a special case of infinite games considered in model theory. For more information, see the monograph of Hodges [4].

Our goal is to present a non-trivial characterization when Odd has a winning strategy in $\mathrm{BM}(G)$, where G is a countable first-order structure. We also develop the theory of limits of *weak* Fraïssé classes, where the amalgamation property is replaced by a weaker condition introduced by Ivanov [5]. We show that Odd has a winning strategy in $\mathrm{BM}((G))$ if and only if $\mathrm{Age}(G)$ is a weak Fraïssé class and G is its limit.

2 The setup

Throughout this note $\mathscr{F}$ will always denote a class, closed under isomorphisms, consisting of countable finitely generated structures of a fixed first-order language. We will denote by $\sigma\mathscr{F}$ the class of all structures isomorphic to $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} X_n$, where $\{X_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ is an increasing chain of structures from $\mathscr{F}$.

The relation $X \leqslant Y$ will mean, as usual, that X is a substructure of Y. We define the *hereditary closure* of $\mathscr{F}$ by

$$\mathscr{F}{\downarrow} = \{X\colon (\exists\, Y \in \mathscr{F})\ X \leqslant Y \text{ and } X \text{ is finitely generated }\}.$$

Note that $\sigma(\mathscr{F}{\downarrow})$ may be strictly larger than $\sigma\mathscr{F}$ (see Example 5.4 below). Recall that $\mathscr{F}$ is *hereditary* if $\mathscr{F}{\downarrow} = \mathscr{F}$. Recall that $\mathscr{F}$ has the *joint embedding property* (JEP) if for every $X, Y \in \mathscr{F}$ there is $Z \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $X \leqslant Z$ and $Y \leqslant Z$.

We will consider the game $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G)$ described in the Introduction, where both players are allowed to play with structures from $\mathscr{F}$ and $G \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$. The following facts are rather straightforward; for the Reader's convenience we provide the proofs.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose Odd has a winning strategy in* $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G)$ *for some* $G \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$. *Then* $\mathscr{F}$ *has the JEP and contains countably many isomorphic types.*

Proof. Eve can start with an arbitrary $X \in \mathscr{F}$. As Odd has a winning strategy, we deduce that G contains copies of all structures in $\mathscr{F}$, while on the other hand G can contain only countably many finitely generated substructures. The JEP also follows, because given $X, Y \leqslant G = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} G_n$ with each $G_n \in \mathscr{F}$, we can find m such that $X, Y \leqslant G_m$, as X and Y are finitely generated. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let* G_0, G_1 *be such that Odd has winning strategies both in* $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G_0)$ *and* $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G_1)$. *Then* G_0 *is isomorphic to* G_1.

Proof. Let Σ_i be Odd's winning strategy in $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G_i)$, where $i = 0, 1$. Let us play the game assuming that Odd is using strategy Σ_0. Eve starts with some randomly chosen $A_{-1} \in \mathscr{F}$ and her choice A_0 is computed according to strategy Σ_1. From that point on, Eve is using strategy Σ_1 applied to sequences of the form

$$A_{-1} \leqslant A_0 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant A_{n-1},$$

where n is even. In this situation both players win, showing that $A_\infty = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} A_n$ is isomorphic to both G_0 and G_1. □

In the sequel we shall frequently use the following trivial fact.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A, B \in \mathscr{F}$, let $h\colon A \to B$ be an isomorphism, and let $B' \in \mathscr{F}$ be such that $B' \geqslant B$. Then there exist $A' \geqslant A$ and an isomorphism $h'\colon A' \to B'$ extending h.*

3 The weak extension property

As before, $\mathscr{F}$ is a fixed class of countable finitely generated structures, and $\sigma\mathscr{F}$ is the class of all unions of countable chains of structures from $\mathscr{F}$. We shall say that $G \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$ is *$\mathscr{F}$-universal* if every structure from $\mathscr{F}$ embeds into G.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be as above and let $G \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$. The following properties are equivalent:*

(a) *For every $A \leqslant G$, $A \in \mathscr{F}$, there is $B \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $A \leqslant B \leqslant G$ and for every $X \in \mathscr{F}$ with $B \leqslant X$ there exists an embedding $f\colon X \to G$ satisfying $f \restriction A = \mathrm{id}_A$.*

(b) *For every $A \leqslant G$, $A \in \mathscr{F}$, there are an isomorphism $h\colon A' \to A$ and $B \geqslant A'$, $B \in \mathscr{F}$, such that for every $X \in \mathscr{F}$ with $B \leqslant X$ there exists an embedding $f\colon X \to G$ extending h.*

(c) *For every $A \in \mathscr{F}$, for every embedding $e\colon A \to G$ there is $B \geqslant A$, $B \in \mathscr{F}$, such that for every $X \in \mathscr{F}$ with $B \leqslant X$ there exists an embedding $f\colon X \to G$ extending e.*

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b) Take $h := \mathrm{id}_A$.

(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c) Note that the assertion of (b) holds when the isomorphism h is replaced by $h \circ g$, where $g\colon A'' \to A'$ is an arbitrary isomorphism. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.3 applied to g^{-1}. Thus, one can take g to be any automorphism of A', therefore $h \circ g$ can be an arbitrary embedding of A' into G whose image is A, which shows (c).

(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) Take $e := \mathrm{id}_A$ and apply (c) obtaining a suitable $B \geqslant A$. In particular, there is an embedding $g\colon B \to G$ that is identity on A. Then $g[B] \in \mathscr{F}$ and $A \leqslant g[B] \leqslant G$. Fix $X \in \mathscr{F}$ with $g[B] \leqslant X$. By Lemma 2.3, there are $X' \geqslant B$ and an isomorphism $g'\colon X' \to X$ extending g. By (c), there is an embedding $f'\colon X' \to G$ that is identity on A. Finally, $f := f' \circ (g')^{-1}$ is an embedding of X into G that is identity on A. □

We shall say that $G \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$ is *weakly $\mathscr{F}$-injective* if it is $\mathscr{F}$-universal and satisfies any of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3.1. Furthermore, we shall say that G is *weakly injective* if it is weakly $\mathscr{F}$-injective with $\mathscr{F} = \mathrm{Age}(G)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose Eve does not have a winning strategy in* $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G)$. *Then G is weakly $\mathscr{F}$-injective.*

Proof. First of all, note that G is $\mathscr{F}$-universal, since otherwise there would be $A \in \mathscr{F}$ not embeddable into G and Eve would have a winning strategy, simply starting the game with $A_0 := A$. In order to show that G is not weakly $\mathscr{F}$-injective, we shall use condition (b) of Proposition 3.1. Namely, suppose (b) fails and fix a witness $A \leqslant G$, $A \in \mathscr{F}$. We shall describe a winning strategy for Eve. Note that the following condition is fulfilled.

(×) For every isomorphism $h\colon A' \to A$, for every $B \geqslant A'$ with $B \in \mathscr{F}$, there exists $B' \geqslant B$ with $B' \in \mathscr{F}$ such that no embedding of B' into G extends h.

Eve starts with $A_0 := A$. Suppose $A_0 \leqslant A_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant A_{n-1}$ are initial steps of the game $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G)$, where n is even. Eve chooses an isomorphism h_n whose domain is a substructure of A_{n-1} and whose range is A. Then she responds with $A_n := B'$ from condition (×) applied to $h := h_n$ and $B := A_{n-1}$. By this way no embedding of A_n into G extends h_n. This describes Eve's strategy. Note that at each step there are countably many possibilities for choosing an isomorphism onto A, therefore an easy book-keeping makes sure that Eve considers all of them. By this way she wins, as in the end no embedding of $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} A_n$ into G can contain A in its image. □

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose $G \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$ is weakly $\mathscr{F}$-injective. Then Odd has a winning strategy in $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G)$.*

Proof. Let $\{v_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ enumerate a fixed set of generators of G. We shall use condition (c) of Proposition 3.1, knowing that G is $\mathscr{F}$-universal.

Suppose $A_0 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant A_{n-1}$ form an initial part of $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G)$ and n is odd. We assume that on the way Odd had considered $A'_i \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $A_i \leqslant A'_i \leqslant A_{i+1}$ for each even $i < n-2$, and he has recorded embeddings $e_i\colon A'_i \to G$ such that e_i extends e_{i-2} and

$$\{v_0, \ldots, v_i\} \subseteq e_i[A'_i],$$

again for each even $i < n-2$. Furthermore, if $n > 2$, we assume that for every $X \in \mathscr{F}$ with $X \geqslant A_{n-2}$ there exists an embedding $e\colon X \to G$ extending e_{n-3}. We now describe Odd's response.

Namely, Odd first finds a copy $B_{n-1} \leqslant G$ of A_{n-1} and, using Lemma 2.3 together with our inductive assumption, finds $A'_{n-1} \geqslant A_{n-1}$ so that there is an embedding $e_{n-1}\colon A'_{n-1} \to G$ extending e_{n-3} (unless $n = 1$), whose image contains v_i for every $i < n$. Odd responds with $A_n \geqslant A'_{n-1}$ such that the assertion (c) of Proposition 3.1 holds with $A := A'_{n-1}$, $e := e_{n-1}$, and $B := A_n$. By this way, for every $X \in \mathscr{F}$ with $X \geqslant A_n$, there is an embedding $e\colon X \to G$ extending e_{n-1}.

Using this strategy Odd in particular builds an embedding $e_\infty\colon A_\infty \to G$, where $A_\infty = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} A_n = \bigcup_{n\in 2\mathbb{N}} A'_n$ and $e_\infty \restriction A'_n = e_n$ for $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$. Its image contains the set of generators $\{v_n\}_{n\in\omega}$, therefore e_∞ is an isomorphism from A_∞ onto G. □

4 Weak amalgamations

The following concept was introduced and used by Ivanov [5] and later by Kechris & Rosendal [1]. Ivanov called it *almost amalgamation property*.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a class of finitely generated structures. We say that $\mathscr{F}$ has the *weak amalgamation property* (briefly: *WAP*) if for every $Z \in \mathscr{F}$ there is $Z' \in \mathscr{F}$ containing Z as a substructure and such that for every embeddings $f\colon Z' \to X$, $g\colon Z' \to Y$ with $X, Y \in \mathscr{F}$ there exist embeddings $f'\colon X \to V$, $g'\colon Y \to V$ with $V \in \mathscr{F}$, satisfying

$$f' \circ f \restriction Z = g' \circ g \restriction Z.$$

We also say that $\mathscr{F}$ has the *cofinal amalgamation property* (briefly: *CAP*) if

$$f' \circ f = g' \circ g$$

holds in the definition above. Finally, $\mathscr{F}$ has the *amalgamation property* (briefly: *AP*) if $Z' = Z$ in the definition above.

A subclass $\mathscr{F}'$ of a class $\mathscr{F}$ is called *cofinal* if $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{F}'{\downarrow}$.

One needs to admit here that the cofinal amalgamation property (which perhaps belongs to the folklore) had been considered earlier by Truss [8]. Obviously, CAP implies WAP and AP implies CAP. Note also that the CAP is equivalent to the existence of a cofinal subclass with the AP. Finite cycle-free graphs provide an example of a hereditary class satisfying CAP and not AP.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a class of structures such that there exists $G \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$ that is weakly $\mathscr{F}$-injective. Then $\mathscr{F}$ has the weak amalgamation property.*

Proof. Fix $Z \in \mathscr{F}$. We may assume that $Z \leqslant G$. We shall use condition (a) of Proposition 3.1. Namely, let $Z' \in \mathscr{F}$ be such that $Z \leqslant Z' \leqslant G$ and (a) holds with $A := Z$, $B := Z'$. Fix embeddings $f\colon Z' \to X$, $g\colon Z' \to Y$ with $X, Y \in \mathscr{F}$. Applying Proposition 3.1 twice, we obtain embeddings $f'\colon X \to G$, $g'\colon Y \to G$ such that both $f' \circ f$ and $g' \circ g$ are identity on Z. In particular, $f' \circ f \restriction Z = g' \circ g \restriction Z$. □

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a class of countable finitely generated structures. We shall say that $\mathscr{F}$ is a *weak Fraïssé class* if it has JEP, WAP, and contains countably many isomorphic types.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a countable structure, let $\mathscr{F}$ be a cofinal subclass of* $\mathrm{Age}(G)$*, and assume Eve does not have a winning strategy in* $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G)$*. Then $\mathscr{F}$ is a weak Fraïssé class.*

Proof. That $\mathscr{F}$ has JEP and contains countably many types is the statement of Proposition 2.1. The WAP follows directly from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.2. □

It remains to show that every weak Fraïssé class has its limit, that is, a suitable countably generated structure with the weak extension property. According to the remark on page 320 in [1], this can be "carried over without difficulty" adapting the Fraïssé theory presented in the book of Hodges [3]. One cannot disagree with such a statement, however we are not aware of any text where it has actually been done, therefore we present the details in the next section.

5 Limits of weak Fraïssé classes

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be as above, $Z \in \mathscr{F}$, and let $Z' \in \mathscr{F}$ be such that $Z \leqslant Z'$. We shall say that Z' is *Z-good* if it satisfies the assertion of Definition 4.1, namely, for every embeddings $f\colon Z' \to X$, $g\colon Z' \to Y$ with $X, Y \in \mathscr{F}$ there exist embeddings $f'\colon X \to V$, $g'\colon Y \to V$

with $V \in \mathscr{F}$, satisfying $f' \circ f \restriction Z = g' \circ g \restriction Z$. Note that WAP says that for every $Z \in \mathscr{F}$ there is $Z' \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $Z \leqslant Z'$ and Z' is Z-good, while CAP means that for every $Z \in \mathscr{F}$ there is $Z' \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $Z \leqslant Z'$ and Z' is Z'-good. Note also that if $Z \leqslant Z' \leqslant Z''$ and Z' is Z-good then so is Z''.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a weak Fraïssé class. Then there exists a unique, up to isomorphisms, structure $G \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$ that is weakly $\mathscr{F}$-injective, and such that $\mathscr{F}$ is cofinal in* $\mathrm{Age}(G)$*. Conversely, if G is a countable weakly injective structure then every cofinal subclass of* $\mathrm{Age}(G)$ *is a weak Fraïssé class.*

The structure G from the first statement will be called the *limit* of $\mathscr{F}$.

Proof. Note that the second ("conversely") part is the combination of Propositions 2.1 and 4.2. It remains to show the first part. Uniqueness follows from Proposition 2.2, therefore it remains to show the existence. The construction will rely on the following very simple fact (called the *Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma*), well-known in forcing theory:

Claim 5.2. *Given a partially ordered set $\mathbb{P} = \langle P, \leqslant \rangle$, given a countable family $\mathscr{D}$ of cofinal subsets of $\mathbb{P}$, there exists an increasing sequence $\mathscr{F} = \{p_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $D \cap \mathscr{F} \neq \emptyset$ for every $D \in \mathscr{D}$.*

Recall that D is *cofinal* in $\mathbb{P}$ if for every $p \in P$ there is $q \in D$ such that $p \leqslant q$.

Now, fix a weak Fraïssé class $\mathscr{F}$ of finitely generated structures. First, we "localize" $\mathscr{F}$: Namely, we assume that each $A \in \mathscr{F}$ lives in the set $\mathbb{N}$ of nonnegative integers and the complement $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$ is infinite. Define the following poset $\mathbb{P}$. The universe of $\mathbb{P}$ is the class $\mathscr{F}$ (refined as above) while $\leqslant$ is the usual relation of "being a substructure". Define

$$E_C = \{X \in \mathscr{F} \colon C \text{ embeds into } X\},$$

where $C \in \mathscr{F}$. By assumption, there are countably many sets of the form E_C and the joint embedding property implies that each E_C is cofinal in $\mathbb{P}$. Next, given $A \leqslant A'$ in $\mathscr{F}$ such that A' is A-good, given an embedding $f \colon A' \to B$, define

$$D_{A,f} = \{X \in \mathscr{F} \colon \text{If } A' \leqslant X \text{ then } (\exists \text{ an embedding } g \colon B \to X)\ (\forall\, x \in A)\ g(f(x)) = x\}.$$

By assumption, there are countably many sets of the form $D_{A,f}$. Each of them is cofinal, because of the weak amalgamation property. We only need to remember that all structures in $\mathscr{F}$ are co-infinite in $\mathbb{N}$, so that we always have enough space to enlarge them.

Finally, a sequence

$$U_0 \leqslant U_1 \leqslant U_2 \leqslant U_3 \leqslant \dots$$

obtained from Claim 5.2 to our family of cofinal sets produces a structure $U_\infty = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} U_n$ in $\sigma\mathscr{F}$ that is weakly $\mathscr{F}$-injective. In particular, $\mathscr{F}$ is cofinal in $\mathrm{Age}(U_\infty)$. □

One of the most important features of Fraïssé limits is *universality*. Namely, if $\mathscr{F}$ is a Fraïssé class and G is its limit then every $X \in \sigma\mathscr{F}$ embeds into G. This is not true for weak Fraïssé classes (see Example 5.4 below), however the following weaker statement holds true.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a weak Fraïssé class and let G be its limit. Then for every chain*

$$X_0 \leqslant X_1 \leqslant X_2 \leqslant \cdots$$

of structures in $\mathscr{F}$ such that X_{n+1} is X_n-good for each $n \in \omega$, the union $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} X_n$ embeds into G.

Proof. Let us play the game $\mathrm{BM}(\mathscr{F}, G)$ in such a way that Odd uses his winning strategy. We shall describe Eve's strategy leading to an embedding of $X = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} X_n$ into G.

Eve starts with any $A_0 \in \mathscr{F}$ for which there is an embedding $e_1 \colon X_1 \to A_0$. Odd responds with $A_1 \geqslant A_0$. Eve uses the WAP in order to find $A_2 \geqslant A_1$ and an embedding $e_2 \colon X_2 \to A_2$ so that $e_2 \restriction X_0 = e_1 \restriction X_0$. In general, when $n = 2k$ and the position of the game is $A_0 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant A_{n-1}$, we assume that Eve has already recorded embeddings $e_i \colon X_i \to A_{2i-2}$ satisfying

$$(\star) \qquad e_{i+1} \restriction X_{i-1} = e_i \restriction X_{i-1}$$

for each $i \leqslant k$. Eve responds with $A_n = A_{2k} \geqslant A_{n-1}$ using the WAP, so that there is an embedding $e_k \colon X_k \to A_{2k}$ satisfying $e_k \restriction X_{k-2} = e_{k-1} \restriction X_{k-2}$. By this way, after infinitely many steps of the game Eve has recorded embeddings $e_i \colon X_i \to A_{2i-2}$ satisfying $(\star)$ for every $i \in \omega$. Define $e = \bigcup_{i\in\omega} e_i \restriction X_{i-1}$. Then $e \colon X \to \bigcup_{n\in\omega} A_n$ is a well-defined embedding, because of $(\star)$ and $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} A_n \approx G$, because Odd was using his winning strategy. □

Note that the above result gives the well-known universality of Fraïssé limits. This is because if $\mathscr{F}$ is a Fraïssé class then every $X \in \mathscr{F}$ is X-good, therefore Theorem 5.3 applies to every structure in $\sigma\mathscr{F}$. Below is the announced example showing that the result above cannot be improved.

Example 5.4. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the class of all finite linear graphs, that is, finite graphs with no cycles and of vertex degree $\leqslant 2$. Connected graphs form a cofinal subclass with the AP, therefore $\mathscr{F}$ is a weak Fraïssé class. Its limit is $\mathbb{Z}$, the integers with the linear graph structure (n is connected precisely to $n+1$ and $n-1$ for each n). The graph X consisting of two disjoint copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ is in $\sigma\mathscr{F}$, however it cannot be embedded into $\mathbb{Z}$.

Another important feature of Fraïssé limits is *homogeneity*, namely, every isomorphism between finitely generated substructures extends to an automorphism. This is obviously false in the case of weak Fraïssé classes (see Example 5.4 above: homogeneity totally fails for disconnected subgraphs of $\mathbb{Z}$). On the other hand, the following weaker result is true.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a weak Fraïssé class with limit G. Then for every $A \leqslant A' \leqslant G$ such that $A, A' \in \mathscr{F}$ and A' is A-good, for every embedding $e \colon A' \to G$ there exists an automorphism $h \colon G \to G$ such that $h \restriction A = e \restriction A$.*

Proof. The proof is a suitable adaptation of the classical back-and-forth argument. Namely, let $A_0 := A$, $A_1 := A'$, $f_1 := e$. Let $B_1 \leqslant G$ be in $\mathscr{F}$, such that $f_1[A_1] \subseteq B_1$. Choose $B_2 \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $B_1 \leqslant B_2 \leqslant G$ and B_2 is B_1-good. Applying the weak extension property, find $g_2 \colon B_2 \to G$ such that $g_2 \circ f_1 \restriction A_0$ is identity. Let $A_2 \in \mathscr{F}$ be such

that $g_2[B_2] \subseteq A_2 \leqslant G$. Enlarging A_2 if necessary, we may assume that it is A_1-good. Inductively, we construct two chains of structures in $\mathscr{F}$

$$A_0 \leqslant A_1 \leqslant A_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant G \qquad \text{and} \qquad B_1 \leqslant B_2 \leqslant B_3 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant G$$

and embeddings $f_{2n+1}\colon A_{2n+1} \to B_{2n+1}$ and $g_{2n}\colon B_{2n} \to A_{2n}$ satisfying for every $n \in \omega$ the following conditions:

(1) A_{n+1} is A_n-good and B_{n+1} is B_n-good,

(2) $g_{2n} \circ f_{2n-1}$ is identity on A_{2n-2},

(3) $f_{2n+1} \circ g_{2n}$ is identity on B_{2n-1},

(4) $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} A_n = G = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} B_{n+1}$.

Given f_{2n-1} and g_{2n-2}, we find g_{2n} and f_{2n+1} exactly in the same way as in the first step, using the weak extension property of G. Note that we have a freedom to enlarge A_{2n+2} and B_{2n+1} as much as we wish, therefore we can easily achieve (4), knowing that G is countably generated.

Now observe that

$$f_{2n-1} \restriction A_{2n-2} = f_{2n+1} \circ g_{2n} \circ f_{2n-1} \restriction A_{2n-2} = f_{2n+1} \restriction A_{2n-2},$$

because $f_{2n-1}[A_{2n-2}] \subseteq B_{2n-1}$ and hence we were able to apply (3) and (4). It follows that

$$f_\infty := \bigcup_{n\in\omega} f_{2n+1} \restriction A_{2n}$$

is a well-defined embedding of G into itself. The same argument shows that

$$g_\infty := \bigcup_{n\in\omega} g_{2n+2} \restriction B_{2n+2}$$

is a well-defined embedding of G into itself. Conditions (2), (3) make sure that $f_\infty \circ g_\infty = \mathrm{id}_G = g_\infty \circ f_\infty$, showing that f_∞ is an isomorphism. Finally,

$$f_\infty \restriction A = f_\infty \restriction A_0 = f_1 \restriction A_0 = e \restriction A.$$

This completes the proof. □

Note that, again, if $\mathscr{F}$ is a Fraïssé class then the result above gives full homogeneity, namely, that every embedding between finitely generated substructures extends to an automorphism.

6 Final remarks

Our results show that the weak amalgamation property plays the crucial role in the game. Thus it is natural to ask the following:

Problem 6.1. Find a hereditary weak Fraïssé class without the cofinal amalgamation property. How about weak Fraïssé classes of finite graphs?

Recently, Aristotelis Panagiotopoulos (private communication) has found an example of a weak Fraïssé class of finite structures without the CAP. It remains open whether such a class can be found among finite graphs.

7 Addendum

First of all, we would like to point out that the first example of a countable hereditary class of finite structures with JEP, WAP and without CAP was found by Alex Kruckman in 2015 (email communication). This is contained in [6, Example 3.4.7 on p. 73]. Formally, this example is not hereditary, however its hereditary closure still fails the CAP.

Below is an example of a hereditary class of finite graphs having the JEP, WAP, and lacking the CAP. We denote by $\deg_G(x)$ the degree of a vertex x in the graph G.

Example 7.1. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the class of all finite cycle-free graphs in which no two vertices of degree > 2 are adjacent. Obviously, $\mathscr{G}$ is hereditary and has the JEP. Furthermore, every graph in $\mathscr{G}$ can be extended to a connected one, simply adding a new vertex connected to selected vertices of degree 1 from each component. Being cycle-free ensures that each $G \in \mathscr{G}$ has at least one vertex of degree $\leqslant 1$.

We first check that $\mathscr{G}$ fails the CAP. Fix a non-discrete (i.e., containing at least one edge) $H \in \mathscr{G}$ and choose $v \in H$ of degree 1 (such a vertex exists, because there are no cycles). Let $X \supseteq H$ be such that $X \setminus H$ looks as



where v' is adjacent to v in X and there are no further new adjacencies. Let $Y \supseteq H$ be such that $X \setminus H$ looks as



where v'' is adjacent to v in Y and there are no further new adjacencies in Y. The only potential possibility of amalgamating these two embeddings in $\mathscr{G}$ would be by gluing v' and v''. But then z becomes a vertex adjacent to v' and both of them have degree > 2, a contradiction.

We now check that $\mathscr{G}$ has the WAP. Let us call $H \in \mathscr{G}$ *tame* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) H is connected and has more than one vertex.

(2) Every vertex of degree 2 in H has a neighbor of degree > 2.

(3) The unique neighbor of every vertex of degree 1 in H has degree 2.

We claim that every member of $\mathscr{G}$ can be enlarged to a tame one. Fix $H \in \mathscr{G}$. It is clear how to enlarge it in order to satisfy (1) and (3). In order to achieve (2), fix a vertex $v \in H$

of degree 2 and suppose that the two neighbors of v have degree $\leqslant 2$. We extend H by gluing it with the graph



so that the degree of v becomes 3 and conditions (1) and (3) are preserved. We do it for every such vertex, obtaining a tame graph in $\mathscr{G}$.

We now show the WAP. Fix $H \in \mathscr{G}$. Enlarging H, we may assume it is tame. Let $G \supseteq H$ be the graph obtained from H by adding to each vertex $u \in H$ of degree 1 a graph that looks as



(†)

Note that there are no edges between $z \in G \setminus H$ and $x \in H$, unless $\deg_H(x) = 1$. Note also that if $h\colon G \to Z$ is an embedding and $z \in Z \setminus h[H]$ then z is adjacent to no vertex of degree 2 in H.

Now fix two embeddings $f\colon G \to X$, $g\colon G \to Y$ with $X, Y \in \mathscr{G}$, We claim that there are $W \in \mathscr{G}$ and embeddings $f'\colon X \to W$, $g'\colon Y \to W$ satisfying $f' \circ f \restriction H = g' \circ g \circ \restriction H$. We may assume that $X \cap Y = H$ and f, g are identities on H. Let $W = X \cup Y$ with no "new" edges (that is, no edges between $X \setminus H$ and $Y \setminus H$). Let f', g' be the inclusions $X \subseteq W$, $Y \subseteq W$. Clearly, $f' \circ f \restriction H = g' \circ g \restriction H$. It remains to show that $W \in \mathscr{G}$.

As H is connected, W is cycle-free. Suppose $u, v \in W$ are neighbors of degree > 2. We may assume that $u, v \in X$ (the case $u, v \in Y$ is symmetric). Since $X \in \mathscr{G}$, we infer that $\deg_X(u) \leqslant 2$ or $\deg_X(v) \leqslant 2$. Suppose $\deg_X(u) \leqslant 2$. Then $u \in H$ and $\deg_H(u) \leqslant \deg_X(u) \leqslant 2$. If $\deg_H(u) = 2$ then u is not adjacent to any vertex outside of H, therefore $\deg_W(u) = 2$, a contradiction. We conclude that $\deg_H(u) = 1$ (there are no isolated vertices in H). As H is tame, the unique neighbor of u in H has degree 2, therefore it cannot be v. Thus $v \notin H$. Moreover, observe that $v \notin G$, since otherwise $v = a_v$ as in (†) and then $\deg_W(v) = \deg_G(v) = 2$. Hence $v \notin G$. Thus u has at least two neighbors in $X \setminus H$ (namely, a_v and v) showing that $\deg_X(u) \geqslant 3$, a contradiction.

References

[1] A.S. Kechris, C. Rosendal, *Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 94 (2007) 302–350.

[2] W. Kubiś, *Banach-Mazur game played in partially ordered sets*, Banach Center Publications 108 (2016) 151–160 (arXiv:1505.01094).

[3] W. Hodges, *Model theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[4] W. Hodges, *Building models by games*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

[5] A. Ivanov, *Generic expansions of ω-categorical structures and semantics of generalized quantifies*, J. Symbolic Logic 64 (1999) 775–789.

[6] A. Kruckman, *Infinitary Limits of Finite Structures*, PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, `http://pages.iu.edu/~akruckma/thesis.pdf`

[7] R. Telgársky, *Topological games: on the 50th anniversary of the Banach-Mazur game*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 17 (1987) 227–276.

[8] J.K. Truss, *Generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 65 (1992) 121–141.